

I. Formation of multi-planetary systems II. Open Exoplanet Catalogue

Hanno Rein @ Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen, February 2013





Formation of multiplanetary systems

Planet formation



Image credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech

Migration - Type I

- Low mass planets
- No gap opening in disc
- Migration rate is fast
- Depends strongly on thermodynamics of the disc



Migration - Type II

- Massive planets (typically bigger than Saturn)
- Opens a (clear) gap
- Migration rate is slow
- Follows viscous evolution of the disc



Migration - Type III

- Massive disc
- Intermediate planet mass
- Tries to open gap
- Very fast, few orbital timescales



planet + disc = migration

Radial velocity planets



Cumulative period ratio in multiplanetary systems

- Periods of systems with massive planets tend to pile up near integer ratios
- Most prominent features at 4:1, 3:1, 2:1, 3:2

2 planets + migration = resonance



HD45364





Correia et al 2009, Open Exoplanet Catalogue

Formation scenario for HD45364



Rein, Papaloizou & Kley 2010

المراجع والمراجع والمراجع

Formation scenario for HD45364

Massive disc (5 times MMSN)

- Short, rapid Type III migration
- Passage of 2:1 resonance
- Capture into 3:2 resonance

Large scale-height (0.07)

- Slow Type I migration once in resonance
- Resonance is stable
- Consistent with radiation hydrodynamics



Rein, Papaloizou & Kley 2010

Formation scenario leads to a better 'fit'



Rein, Papaloizou & Kley 2010

Resonant systems tell us something about the (currently) unobservable formation phase.

Migration in a turbulent disc

Turbulent disc

- Angular momentum transport
- Magnetorotational instability (MRI)
- Density perturbations interact gravitationally with planets
- Stochastic forces lead to random walk
- Large uncertainties in strength of forces



Animation from Nelson & Papaloizou 2004 Random forces measured by Laughlin et al. 2004, Nelson 2005, Oischi et al. 2007

Stochastic Migration



REBOUND code, Rein & Papaloizou 2010, Crida et al 2010

REBOUND Demo

Radial velocity planets



Cumulative period ratio in multiplanetary systems

- Periods of systems with massive planets tend to pile up near integer ratios
- Most prominent features at 4:1, 3:1, 2:1, 3:2

Kepler's transiting planet candidates



- Period ratio distribution much smoother for small mass planets
- Deficiencies near 4:3, 3:2, 2:1
- Excess slightly outside of the exact commensurability

Rein, Payne, Veras & Ford (2012)

Random walk



Rein & Papaloizou 2009

Analytic growth rates for 1 planet

$$(\Delta a)^2 = 4\frac{Dt}{n^2}$$

$$(\Delta \varpi)^2 = \frac{2.5}{e^2}\frac{\gamma Dt}{n^2 a^2}$$

$$(\Delta e)^2 = 2.5\frac{\gamma Dt}{n^2 a^2}$$

Rein & Papaloizou 2009, Adams et al 2009, Rein 2010

time [years]

Testing stochastic migration: Method

Architecture and masses from observed KOIs

Placing planets in a MMSN, further out, further apart, randomizing all angles

N-body simulation with migration forces

Testing stochastic migration: Advantages

Comparison of statistical quantities

- Period ratio distribution
- Eccentricity distribution
- TTVs

Comparison of individual systems

- Especially interesting for multi-planetary systems
- Can create multiple realizations of each system

No synthesis of a planet population required

- Observed masses
- Observed architectures

Result I: Smooth migration alone is not enough



Result II: Stochastic migration works much better



Rein 2012, Rein & Papaloizou 2009

Small mass planets might show signs of stochastic migration.

Conclusions Part I

Conclusions

Formation of multi-planetary systems

The number of multi-planetary systems increases almost every week.

Kepler discovered a large number of planets but most are not suitable for a detailed individual analysis.

Multi-planetary system provide insight in otherwise unobservable formation phase. We already understand many details of the migration history of exoplanets.

GJ876formed in the presence of a disc with dissipative forcesHD45364formed in a massive discHD128311formed in a turbulent discKepler planetsformed in a disk, pushed out of resonance by stochastic migration

.... not the end of the story



Open Exoplanet Catalogue

Hanno Rein

Other exoplanet catalogues

Centralized

- Impossible to correct typos, add data without sending an e-mail to the person in charge
- Closed ecosystem

Web-based

- Website are badly written
- Requires flash or java plugin
- Need a constant internet connection
- Restricted to a very limited, predefined set of possible queries

Slow and outdated

- It can take days/weeks/months for new planets to be added
- Maintainer can be holiday or abandon the project

Old-fashioned formats

- Static tables are not adequate to represent diverse dataset
- Almost impossible to include binary/ triple/quadruple systems
- Not flexible when adding new data
- Unintuitive to parse

Open Exoplanet Catalogue

Open source philosophy

- Unrestrictive MIT license
- Community project
- Everyone can contribute and modify data
- Everyone can expand it
- Distributed, no need for a server/website
- Private clones with confidential data

Based on git

- Distributed version control system
- Used by Linux kernel and most other open source projects
- Every single value, every change ever made is logged, verifiable

Ready to go

- 674 systems, 51 binary system, 870 exoplanets, 9 solar system objects, 2740 KOI objects
- ~10 million users

Hierarchical data structure

- Uses plain XML
- Can represent arbitrary configurations in systems with stellar multiplicity > I
- Extremely easy and intuitive to parse in almost any language
- Compresses extremely well
- size ~ 100KB

OpenExoplanetCatalogue.com, arXiv:1211.7121



OpenExoplanetCatalogue.com

arXiv:1211.7121